PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

SANTORO, Tiziana
Marietti, Gislon e Trupiano S.r.l.
Via Larga, 16
I-20122 Milan
ITALIE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
29 June 2006 (29.06.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 04578J49

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004128

International filing date (day/month/year)
15 December 2004 (15.12.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year) 17 December 2003 (17.12.2003)

Applicant

GLYCORES 2000 S.R.L. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Cecile Chatel

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 60

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 04578J49	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/IB2004/004128	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 December 2004 (15.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17 December 2003 (17.12.2003)
See relevant information in Form F	n edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237	
Applicant GLYCORES 2000 S.R.L.		

 This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a). This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).					
In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Basis of the report	1.	This international preliminary r International Searching Authori	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the ity under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the report	2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application In International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		In the attached sheets, any refer to the international preliminary	ence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:		
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		Box No. I	Basis of the report		
applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		Box No. II	Priority		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
	4.	not, except where the applicant	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		

	Date of issuance of this report 20 June 2006 (20.06.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Cecile Chatel
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 60

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

•	,	TENT COOPER	RATION TREA	REC'D 22 JU	
m the TERNATIONAL SEARC	CHING AUTHO	RITY		WIPO	PCT
see form P		0,0 (6	INTERNATION	PCT TEN OPINION OF T NAL SEARCHING A PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	HE UTHORITY
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second s	sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file r see form PCT/ISA/22	eference 0		FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 belo	ww	
International application N	lo.	International filing date (15.12.2004		Priority date (day/month/ye 17.12.2003	ar)
International Patent Class C08B37/10, C08B37	ification (IPC) or /00, A61K31/7	both national classification 26, A61K31/727, A61	and IPC I K31/737		
Applicant GLYCORES 2000 S					
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. III Box No. III Box No. III If a demand for written opinion the applicant che international Buwill not be so could feel this opinion is	Basis of the o Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability; Certain docur Certain defect Certain obse TION international proposes an Authorises an Authoriseau under Ru onsidered. s, as provided a	ament of opinion with recof invention atement under Rule 43th citations and explanation ments cited at in the international arvations on the international arvations on the international preliminary examination in the international preliminary Examination in the international preliminary Examination in the feet of the international preliminary examination in the international prelimination in the international prelimination in the international prelimination in the internation in the inte	gard to novelty, inventions.1(a)(i) with regard to the supporting such structure supporting such structure supplication is made, this opinion with a policion of the supplication of the s	will usually be considered to the chosen IPEA has notife the chosen IPEA has notife the chosen IPEA has notified the chosen IPEA, the applicant is in	r industrial o be a pply where d the ity vited to
months from the whichever expired for further options.	e date of mailin ires later. ions, see Form	ig of Form PU1/ISA/220	or before the expirati	ion of 22 months from the p	monty duo,
Name and mailing add	ress of the ISA:		Authorized Office	r	and hisches Pelanten.
D-80298	an Patent Office 3 Munich 9 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 9 89 2399 - 4465		Radke, M	49 89 2399-8677	The concession of the constant

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004128

	Box No	. I Basis of the opinion
۱.		gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lan (ur	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	h c	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
	4. Addit	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004128

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

4-16,20,22,26

No: Claims

1-3,17-19,21,23-25,27-35

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

4-16,20,22,26

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-27,32-35

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Cited literature 1.

(a) Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-2002 0 062 019

D2: WO-A-02 50 125 D3: US-A-5 314 876

D4: WO-A-97 43 317 D5: WO-A-02 068 477

(b) In the following arguments, page or column A, lines B to C will be cited as A/B-C.

Preliminary remarks 2.

Ranges blurred by the word "about" or similar terms "... prevent the invention from being unambiguously distinguished from the prior art, ..." (PCT Examination Guidelines 5.38).

Therefore, such ranges in claims 5-8, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21-23, 34 and 35 were not taken into account when assessing novelty and inventive step.

Novelty 3.

(a) Document D1 teaches in claim 64 a method for controlling coagulation in a mammal by administering an epimerised K5-N,O-sulfate having a sulfation degree of from 2.3 to 9 and wherein 40-60% of the uronic acid units are iduronic acid units. The subject-matter of the following claims is not novel in view of the following disclosure in D1:

claims 1, 17,

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/004128

27-29:

D1, claims 17 and 35;

claim 2:

D1, claims 32, 33;

claim 3:

D1, claim 34;

claim 18-21:

D1, examples 7-b, 10-B and 11 (see Table 2 on page 14);

claim 23:

D1, claim 38;

claims 30, 31: D1, claims 67-70.

- (b) Document **D2** discloses in example 2 a depolymerised, C5-epimerised K5-N,O-sulfate wherein
 - (a) 54% of the glucuronic acid units are iduronic acid units,
 - (b) the degree of sulfation is 2.55 and the
 - (c) average molecular weight is 7 400.

It is produced by K5 (i) N-deacetylation, (ii) N-sulfation, (iii) epimerisation, (iv) formation of the tetrabutylammonium salt and oversulfation, (v) selective O-desulfation, (vi) O-sulfation, (vii) N-sulfation and (viii) depolymerisation with nitrous acid (see also claims 22, 25 and 39-41).

Document **D3** reports on 14/25-64 that depolymerisation with nitrous acid leads to terminal 2,5-anhydromannitol units.

Therefore, the subject-matter of <u>claims 17-19, 21, 23-25 and 27-31</u> is not novel in view of **D2**.

- (c) Document D4 discloses in examples 2 and 3 K5 polysaccharides
 - which are 60% or 55% epimerised,
 - have a mean molecular weight of 14,000,
 - a degree of sulfation of 2.3 and 2.4, resp.,
 - and which are 6-O-sulfated to 90 or 70 %, resp..

So, the subject-matter of <u>claims 17, 23 and 27-31</u> (see claim 12) is also not novel in view of **D4**.

(d) Document **D5** discloses in claims 20, 23 and 32 a pharmaceutical composition containing

- (a) an N,O-oversulfated K5 having a sulfation degree of from 3.5 to 4 and a mean molecular weight of about 6,500, and
- (b) a pharmaceutical excipient or vehicle. In examples 2 and 3 sulfation degrees of 3.84 and 3.54 are reported and the polysaccharides are obtained as sodium salts (see 15/21-22). The product of example 3 has a mean molecular weight of 13,000.

The subject-matter of claims 32-35 thus is not novel.

3. Inventive step

- (a) The document **D2** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of process claims 1 to 16.
- (b) The process of D2 differs from the one of present claims 1 to 16 in that D2 teaches depolymerisation as a final step whereas the present claims require a depolymerised K5 polysaccharide as the starting material.
- (c) It is not evident which technical problem is solved by this differing sequence in the process steps known from **D2** other than to provide an alternative process.
- (d) It is evident, e.g. from D5 (see D5, 9/7-15) that the depolymerisation with nitrous acid may also be conducted at an earlier stage, i.e. before O-sulfation. Therefore, the subject-matter of <u>claims 4-16</u> is not based on an inventive step.
- (e) Dependent <u>claims 20, 22 and 26</u> do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step.

4. Industrial applicability

Claims 28 to 31 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered

by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item VI Certain documents cited

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No

Publication date (day/month/year)

Filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

WO-A-03 106 504

24.12.2003

17.06.2003

Kind of non-written disclosure

Date of non-written disclosure (day/month/year)

Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)

This document discloses in claim 48 a low molecular weight epi-K5-amine-O-oversulfate in which

- 40% to 60 % of the uronic acid units are iduronic acid units
- the sulfation degree is at least 3.4, and
- which has a sulfated 2,5-anhydromannitol as the terminal unit at the reductive end.

In example 4 (b) the epi-K5-amine-O-oversulfate has a sulfation degree of 3.55. These polysaccharides are to be admixed to excipients to form pharmaceutical compositions (see 36/4-18).

It thus discloses the subject-matter of claims 32-35 .

Re Item VII Certain defects in the international application

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING INTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

RCT/IB2004/004128

- In <u>claim 23</u> at 39/25, the expression "anidromannitol" should be replaced by "anhydromannitol"
- The expression "incorporated herein by reference" or the like at 7/4-5 and 7/16 leaves doubt as to the actual disclosure of the present application and should be deleted.

Re Item VIII Certain observations on the international application

Clarity of the claims

The word "about" blurs the ranges given in claims 5-8, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21-23, 34 and 35 thus rendering these claims unclear.